Non-Traditional Isotope Laboratory

Potassium Isotope Geochemistry

Fang-Zhen Teng 滕方振



Earth and Space Sciences University of Washington, Seattle

Website: http://faculty.washington.edu/fteng

Outline

□ K Isotope Analysis

Moon-Forming Giant Impact

Crust-Mantle Interactions

Continental Weathering

Biological/Medical/Others

Potassium isotope geochemistry

→ Over 5% mass difference between 39 K and 41 K → Large mass-dependent isotope fractionation?





Potassium isotope geochemistry

Large mass-dependent isotope fractionation?

Previous methods

Precision $0.5 \sim 1\%$

 $\delta^{41}K_{Earth} = 0 \pm 0.5\%$ Seawater Granite Island arc basalt Oceanic basalt Continental basalt -2.0 -1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0 0.5 1.0 1.5 $δ^{41}$ K (‰)

New methods (since 2016) Precision < 0.06‰



Humayun & Clayton, 1995

High-precision K isotope analysis



IsoProbe MC-ICPMS

Wang and Jacobsen 2016 GCA

High-precision K isotope analysis

Nu Plasma II High-resolution MC-ICPMS





Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 178 (2016) 223-232

Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta

www.elsevier.com/locate/gca

2016 IsoProbe

CrossMark

An estimate of the Bulk Silicate Earth potassium isotopic composition based on MC-ICPMS measurements of basalts

Kun Wang (王昆)*, Stein B. Jacobsen

Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, 20 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA Received 7 September 2015; accepted in revised form 31 December 2015; Available online 3 February 2016

JAAS



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue



PAPER

Cite this: J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2016, 31, 1023

2016 IsoProbe

Precise measurement of stable potassium isotope ratios using a single focusing collision cell multi-collector ICP-MS⁺

Weiqiang Li,*ab Brian L. Beard^{cd} and Shilei Li^e



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Chemical Geology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chemgeo

2018 Nu Plasma II

High-precision analysis of potassium isotopes by HR-MC-ICPMS

Yan Hu^{a,*}, Xin-Yang Chen^a, Ying-Kui Xu^{a,b}, Fang-Zhen Teng^{a,*}

^a Isotope Laboratory, Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-1310, USA

^b Lunar and Planetary Science Research Center, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang, Guizhou 550081, China



CHEMICAL GEOLOGY



PAPER



View Journal | View Issue

Check for updates

Cite this: J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2018, 33, 175

High-precision $^{41}{\rm K}/^{39}{\rm K}$ measurements by MC-ICP-MS indicate terrestrial variability of $\delta^{41}{\rm K}^{\dagger}$

Leah E. Morgan, ^{(D)*a} Danielle P. Santiago Ramos, ^{(D)*} Brett Davidheiser-Kroll, ^{(D)*} John Faithfull, ^{(D)*} Nicholas S. Lloyd, ^{(D)*} Rob M. Ellam^f and John A. Higgins^b

2018 Neptune Plus



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Chemical Geology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chemgeo

2019 Nu Plasma II

Potassium isotopic compositions of international geological reference materials

Ying-Kui Xu^{a,b,c}, Yan Hu^{b,*}, Xin-Yang Chen^b, Tian-Yi Huang^b, Ronald S. Sletten^d, Dan Zhu^{e,c}, Fang-Zhen Teng^{b,*}

JAAS

PAPER

View Article Online View Journal



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c8ja00303c

2019 Neptune Plus

High-precision potassium isotopic analysis by MC-ICP-MS: an inter-laboratory comparison and refined K atomic weight[†]





CHEMICAL GEOLOGY



ARTICLE

2020 Nu Plasma 3 Optimal separation method for high-precision K isotope analysis by using MC-ICP-MS with a dummy bucket

Received 00th January 20xx, Xiaoqiang Li, ^a Guilin Han, ^{*a} Qian Zhang ^{a, b} and Zhuang Miao ^c

Multi-Collector- Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (MC-ICP-MS)

Company	Brand	Year
• VG Elemental:	Plasma 54	1992
• Micromass:	Iso-Plasmatrace	1996
• Nu Instruments:	Nu Plasma	1997
• GV Micromass:	IsoProbe	199?
• VG Elemental:	Axiom	1998
• Nu Instruments	Nu 1700	1999
• Thermal-Fisher:	Neptune	2000
• Thermal-Fisher:	Proteaus*	2015
• Nu Instruments	Sapphire*	2018

~~pp

数据要精确,更要准确

自相

我觉得这样有约



哎呀你真牛逼啊

不听你解释

Step-by-step instructions

Step 1. analysis of pure standard by standard-bracketing method You will get the highest precision (internal)

Step 2. put pure standards through column chemistry and analyse the column cut. – test column chemistry. In theory, you should get similar precision as step 1.

Step 3. doping experiments. Add matrix elements to pure standard to check the maximum tolerance of matrix elements – know how efficient your column chemistry needs to be.

Step 4. make synthetic solutions by mixing pure standard with matrix elements that match natural samples; put synthetic solutions through column chemistry. – test column chemistry for "natural samples" for precision and accuracy

Step 5. put a rock/mineral sample through column chemistry many times. – test column chemistry for "natural samples" with large amount of matrix elements that needs additional times of column chemistry

Step 6. put the same rock sample through many columns simultaneously – external precision

Step 7. always process at least one standard together with unknown samples. – Long-term external precision based on this standard

Step 8. Analyses of standard materials

- USGS standard materials (rock/mineral)
- IRMM standard materials (rock/mineral)
- Seawater
- Others



Science 不被拒 Nature 不被拒 Science Advances 不被拒 Nature Geosciences 不被拒 GCA 不被拒 EPSL 不被拒 Geology 不被拒 科学通报 不被拒 中国科学 不被拒

《不被拒经》

Outline



The Moon - Satellite of the Earth

The Moon

- 1/4 diameter of the Earth
- 1/100 mass of the Earth
- 3/5 density of the Earth





How did the Moon form?

Giant impact!

Hartmann and Davis 1975;Cameron and Ward 1976





Moon

Earth





Detailed questions on giant-impact theory

The nature of the impactor

- Where did the impactor come from?
- How big is the impactor?
- What is the composition of the impactor? Similar to Earth

What happened during the impact

- When did it happen?
- Melting \rightarrow magma ocean? Evaporation \rightarrow gas? Or both?
- Well mixed between the impactor and proto-Earth?
- Open or close system i.e., anything lost to space?

After the impact: formation of the Moon and Earth

- Cool down → condensation → collision growth → Moon?
- Are all elements/isotopes condensated at the same time?
- The source materials for the Moon: impactor or Earth's mantle?

Giant-impact theory



- A mars-size impactor
- >40% of the Moon-forming material from the impactor
- Impactor formed beyond the snow line

Snow line: where H-compounds (e.g., H_2O) \rightarrow solid



The impactor should have different composition!

>40% of the Moon-forming material from the impactor The Moon inherited the impactor \rightarrow has different compositions



The Moon/Earth have identical $\Delta^{17}O!$

The Moon and Earth have identical O isotopic composition but different from most of other planetary bodies



Mittlefehldet et al 2008

The Moon/Earth have identical Ti isotopic ratios!



Zhang et al. 2012

Identical isotopic ratios for other elements!



Cond. T. = at which elements condensate from solar nebula. $Refractory \ elements = high \ cond. \ T$ $Volatile \ elements = low \ cond. \ T.$ Giant impact melt whole Earth mantle and impactor \rightarrow Form a magma disk and silicate vapor atmosphere \rightarrow Isotopes were well mixed before Moon formation



Refractory elements are the last to evaporate during impact and the first to condensate during moon formation

Moderately volatile elements (e.g., Cr, Mg and Fe) will be mixed within weeks.

Refractory elements (e.g., Ti, W) will be mixed within 30 years

The identical isotopic compositions of refractory elements require a long cooling time after the impact, which may not work

New Giant-impact models



Small impactor theory: Cuk and Stewart 2012 Science Moon formed from Earth's mantle with 8% from impactor

Large impactor theory: Canup 2012 Science More vigorous impact mixed the impactor and Moon with about 1 to 1 mass ratio

If the new theory works, then isotopes of all elements should be well-mixed

The Moon has a heavier Zn isotopic composition than Earth i.e., light Zn isotopes were lost during the Moon formation



Zinc data are from Paniello et al 2012 Nature

The Moon is also depleted in volatile elements!



Volatile elements are easier to lose during evaporation.

Same is true for light isotopes

Volatile elements were lost before Moon formation



K is also volatile, why no isotope fractionation?



Zinc data are from Paniello et al 2012 Nature

K is also volatile, why no isotope fractionation?

LETTER

doi:10.1038/nature19341

Potassium isotopic evidence for a high-energy giant impact origin of the Moon

Kun Wang^{1,2} & Stein B. Jacobsen¹

Wang and Jacobsen 2016 Nature

K is also volatile, why no isotope fractionation?

The Moon is indeed enriched in heavy K isotopes!



Wang and Jacobsen 2016 Nature

More evidence...



Potassium isotopic composition of the Moon

Zhen Tian^{a,*}, Bradley L. Jolliff^a, Randy L. Korotev^a, Bruce Fegley Jr^a Katharina Lodders^a, James M.D. Day^b, Heng Chen^{a,c}, Kun Wang (王起)^{a,*}

Then isotopes of elements more volatile than K are expected to be fractionated



Indeed, volatile elements are isotopically fractionated between the Earth and Moon
Summary

- Studies of non-traditional isotopes of refractory elements (W, Ti, Fe, Mg) show identical compositions, suggesting the Moon formed from an Earth-like composition
- Isotope fractionation of volatile elements (K, Ga, Zn, Rb) between the Earth and Moon suggests loss of volatile elements during giant impact
- Giant-impact theories have to explain these isotopic signatures. Both recent models suggest a more significant proportion of Earth's mantle into the lunar formation
- More detailed isotopic studies and modeling are needed to better understand the origins of the Moon







GIVE THOSE EYES SOME REST !





Outline

- □ K Isotope Analysis
- Moon-Forming Giant Impact
- Crust-Mantle Interactions
- Continental Weathering
- Biological/Medical/Others

Mantle heterogeneity produced by subduction

Subduction zones are where oceanic plates dive into the mantle and form juvenile continental crust



Winter, J. Introduction to Igneous & Metamorphic Petrology

Subduction zone processes

Oceanic slab



Main objectives

- 1. What processes control the compositional variation in subducting slab?
- 2. How does slab signature transfer to:

Arc lavasMantle-derived magmas



Global potassium cycle

- Global K cycle is important for understanding Earth's dynamics because K is abundant in oceans, crust, mantle and maybe core.
- K activity is closely linked to global carbon and water cycling via continental weathering, oceanic subduction, and arc magmatism.



A major heat source, helping to power mantle dynamics

Potassium isotope geochemistry



Potassium isotope geochemistry

Oceanic crust should have highly variable $\delta^{41}K$

Sediments

Altered oceanic crust



Continental weathering?

Interaction with oceanic crust?



Outline



- 2. Arc magmas
- 3. Mantle-derived magmas



Samples

Altered Oceanic Crust in front of Mariana trench

Reference site, the oldest (~170 Ma) AOC drilled, extensive alteration

Bulk sediments from 11 trenches

Compositions of GLOSS (major, trace element, Nd-Hf-Li-Mg isotopes, etc.)

GLObal Subducting Sediments (Plank, 2014)



Results: altered oceanic crust

- AOC samples are enriched in K and highly heterogeneous
- AOC samples preferentially take up light K from seawater



Results: marine sediments

- Sediments are highly heterogeneous
- Both lighter and heavier than the mantle
- All isotopically lighter than seawater



Controls on sediment $\delta^{41}K$

- Chemical weathering forms sediments with low $\delta^{41}K$
- Consistent with observations from weathering profile



Controls on sediment $\delta^{41}K$

Low-δ⁴¹K sediments: clay authigenesis is also important



Pore-fluid profile

(Santiago Ramos et al., 2018)

Implication for oceanic K cycle

Why are the oceans isotopically heavier than rivers?



Implication for oceanic K cycle

Seawater δ^{41} K reflects the balance between K input and output

Seawater is heavier than riverine inputs

Isotopically light outputs are required



A brief summary

Altered oceanic crust has heterogeneous and overall slightly heavy K isotopic composition than the mantle



A brief summary

Marine sediment has heterogeneous and overall slightly lighter K isotopic composition than the mantle



A brief summary

Both altered basalt and marine sediment are highly enriched in K when compared to the mantle



Outline

- 1. K isotopic compositions of subducting slabs
- 2. Arc magmas
 - 3. Mantle-derived magmas



Samples: Lesser Antilles arc

A compositional end-member of global island arcs



Samples: Lesser Antilles arc

Extremely light K isotopic composition of subducting sediments



Geological settings



- Subduction of Atlantic lithosphere beneath the Caribbean plate
- Orinoco river delivers highly weathered sediments to the Atlantic ocean



Results

- Arc lavas are isotopically lighter than the depleted mantle
- δ^{41} K correlates with K₂O and radiogenic isotopes



Hu et al., submitted

Origins of Lesser Antilles arc lavas

Significant sedimentary inputs: Pb isotopes



Winter, J. Introduction to Igneous & Metamorphic Petrology

Origins of Lesser Antilles arc lavas

Significant sedimentary inputs: Sr-Nd isotopes



Winter, J. Introduction to Igneous & Metamorphic Petrology

Debates on origins of Lesser Antilles arc lavas

Where and how the sediment was incorporated into the lavas?

Mantle wedge: through fluids derived from the subducted slab in the mantle wedge

No, fluids should be heavy and can't produce such low δ^{41} K

- Arc crust: sediment was added in the arc crust through mixing or AFC processes
- Mantle wedge: sediment or its melt added in the mantle wedge

> Potassium isotopic data can help to solve the debate

Mixing in the arc crust

- Unrealistically high proportions of sediments (50%)
- Cannot reproduce radiogenic isotopic variations ۲



Mixing in the mantle wedge

- < 3% sediment addition explains the isotopic variation
- Consistent with previous estimates (0.1 to 5%)



Outline

- 1. K isotopic compositions of subducting slabs
- 2. Arc magmas







- Continental basalts from Northeast China
- Radiogenic isotopes \rightarrow recycled crusts in the source



Sun et al., 2020 GCA

Crustal assimilation can't explain the data due to unrealistic high crustal contribution



Sun et al., 2020 GCA

- Altered crust: high δ^{41} K samples
- Sediments: low δ^{41} K samples



Sun et al., 2020 GCA

How about MORBs and OIBs?

- Global MORBs and OIBs are homogenous
- Dehydration → K loss during subduction?



Tuller-Ross et al., 2019 GCA
Dehydration removes K from altered MORB

- Heavy K isotopes into fluids
- Light residue? \rightarrow eclogites



Liu et al., 2020 GCA

Summary

- Altered oceanic crust has heterogeneous and overall slightly heavy K isotopic composition than the mantle
- Marine sediment has heterogeneous and overall slightly light K isotopic composition than the mantle

- K isotopic data indicate a sedimentary input in the mantle wedge is responsible for the Lesser Antilles arc lava
- Continental basalts from Northeast China reflect inputs from both subducted AOC and sediments

Outline

- □ K Isotope Analysis
- Moon-Forming Giant Impact
- Crust-Mantle Interactions
- Continental Weathering
- Biological/Medical/Others

The importance of continental weathering

Regulates the global CO₂ cycle

Controls composition of rivers and oceans

Modifies compositions of the continental crust



K isotope fractionation during granite weathering



Kaolinite is a great index of degree of weathering

Teng et al. 2020 GCA

K isotope fractionation during granite weathering

K₂O content is controlled by Kfeldspar in weathered residue



Teng et al. 2020 GCA

K isotope fractionation during granite weathering

River water K₂O content is controlled by K-0 Saprolite feldspar in weathered residue 10 Depth (m) 20 30 40 δ^{41} K is controlled by the 90 Saprolite degree of chemical weathering 80 CIA 70 60 50 60 Heavy K isotopes prefer rivers Saprolite 50 (%) (%) 40 to saprolites during weathering 30 20 10 0 -0.7 -0.4 -0.6 -0.5 -0.3

δ⁴¹K (‰)

Teng et al. 2020 GCA

K isotope fractionation during basalt weathering



Liu et al., 2013 GCA Chen et al. 2020 EPSL

K isotope fractionation during basalt weathering



Liu et al., 2013 GCA Chen et al. 2020 EPSL

K isotope fractionation in riverine system

Dissolved load is heavier than rocks



K isotopes: Index of chemical weathering?

K isotopic composition of river water \rightarrow weathering intensity

K isotopic composition of river water \rightarrow seawater

K isotopic composition of seawater \rightarrow weathering intensity



Li et al. 2019 PNAS

K isotopes: Index of chemical weathering?

Evaporates \rightarrow seawater in the deep time?

KCI = seawater since no fractionation at room temperature



Outline

- □ K Isotope Analysis
- Moon-Forming Giant Impact
- Crust-Mantle Interactions
- Continental Weathering

Biological/Medical/Others

Biological/Medical/Others

Goldschmidt2020 Abstract

Goldschmidt2020 Abstract Potassii during Goldschmidt2020 Abstract WENSHUAI LI Potassium s ZHEN TENG², Y Goldschmidt2020 Abstract j ¹ Department of C Carolina-Cha Tracing diage T. TACAIL1*, J. LI ² Isotope Laborate LLOYD² transition us Sciences, Uni Stable K isotope characteristics at 1310. USA ¹Bristol Isotope Gro Xin-Yuan Zheng¹, Br ³ Canadian Light mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal vents of Bristol, UK (* Elliott³, Clark M. John Saskatoon S7 ²Applied & Analytic and their implications for the ¹Department of Earth an ⁴ Department of C Johannes Gutenl modern and ancient K cycle of Minnesota-Twin Barbara, CA ³Thermo Fisher Scie USA (zhengxy@um Str. 11, Bremen, XIN-YANG CHEN¹, BRIAN BEARD², MASON ²Department of Geoscier ⁴Clinic for Zoo Anin Madison, Madison V NEUMAN², MARIA FAHNESTOCK³, JULIA Faculty, Univers ³Department of Geoscier BRYCE3, CLARK JOHNSON2, XIN-YUAN ZHENG1 Atlanta GA 30302, I

¹Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Minnesota–Twin Cities, Minneapolis MN 55455, USA (xinychen@uw.edu, zhengxy@umn.edu)

²Department of Geoscience, University of Wisconsin– Madison Madison WI 53706 USA

Future Directions

ELSEVIER Firston e	Available online at www.sciencedirect.com ScienceDirect Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 245 (2019) 374–384 -principles investigation of the concentration equilibrium fractionation of K isotopes in f	Geochim Cosmoch Act www.elsevier.com on effect Yeldspars	nation factors: pretical calculation ral samples experiments
Yonghui Li	^a , Wenzhong Wang ^a , Shichun Huang ^b , Kun Wang ^c , Z ELSEVIER First-j isotope	Available online at www.sciencedirect.co ScienceDirect Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 264 (2019) 30 principles investigation of a fractionation among K-b	m Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta www.elsevier.com/locate/gea equilibrium K bearing minerals
Yonghui Li ^{a,1} , Wenzhong Wang ^{a,1} , Zhongqing Wu ^{a,b,*} , Shichun Huang ^c			

SPACE CHEMISTRY

Cite This: ACS Earth Space Chem. XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/aesccq

Ab Initio Calculation of Equilibrium Isotopic Fractionations of Potassium and Rubidium in Minerals and Water

Hao Zeng,^{†,‡} Viktor F. Rozsa,[‡] Nicole Xike Nie,[†] Zhe Zhang,[†] Tuan Anh Pham,[§] Giulia Galli,^{‡,||,⊥} and Nicolas Dauphas^{*,†}

Future Directions

Reservoirs/Processes

Technique: LA-Collision Cell-MC-ICPMS

Applications:

 geochemical
 cosmochemical
 biological
 medical

Acknowledgements



